

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DC. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFI	IRMATION NO.	
	IRMATION NO.	
119/319 448 02/06/2000		
03/03/2000 Moses M. David 55436USA6A	6966	
7590 06/26/2002		
Attn. Christopher D. Gram		
3M Innovative Properties Company EXAMINER	EXAMINER ALEXANDER, LYLE	
Office of Intellectual Property Counsel P.O. Box 33427 ALEXANDER, LYL		
St. Paul, MN 55133-3427 ART UNIT PAPE	ER NUMBER	
1743	11	
DATE MAILED: 06/26/2002	//	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M = 11		
• •	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan.	09/519,448	DAVID ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lyle A Alexander	1743			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timel the mailing date of this or ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 /	<u> April 2002</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 26 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b)☐ objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority document 	ts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applica	ation No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•		ıl application).		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	• •				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No al Patent Application (PT			

Application/Control Number: 09/519,448

Art Unit: 1743

Claim R jections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 1,19-22 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by EP 0885983 (referenced as EP hereafter).

EP teaches a method of coating with a diamond like nanocomposition. In column 3 lines 29+ the composition of the layer is described on a hydrogen free basis to be 36% Si, 17% O and 47% C which is indistinguishable from the instant claims. The coating is applied to an injection mold which has been read on the claimed fluid handling device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim is vague and indefinite as to what element/characteristic is imparted to the device to achieve the claimed extinction coefficient.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 2-18 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilding et al., "Sun International Division, Comar Inc." or Kopf-Sill et al. in view of EP 0885983 (referenced as EP hereafter).

Application/Control Number: 09/519,448

Art Unit: 1743

Wilding et al., "Sun International Division, Comar Inc." and Kopf-Sill et al. all teach microfluidic devices but are silent to the claimed diamond like glass film coating. See EP supra.

EP teaches a diamond like glass film coating indistinguishable from that claimed. In column 3 lines 32+ the coating displays a low surface energy, a high hardness, good tribiological properties, creates a non-stick coating and a controlled heat and/or electricity conductivity. It would have been within the skill of the art to modify over Wilding et al., "Sun International Division, Comar Inc." or Kopf-Sill et al. in view of EP to gain the above advantages.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's election with traverse of group I in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the method of manufacturing the article would not place a serious burden on the Office. This is not found persuasive because the restriction requirement demonstrated the inventions are independent and distinct.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on 2/25/02 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 609(B)(2)(i). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A Alexander whose telephone number is 703-308-3893. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 703-308-4037. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9319 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743

June 24, 2002